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#### Abstract

This paper first changes the binary objective model into single objective model by adopting linear weighed method. When studying the minimal transaction lots, this paper studies the integral constraint and the different minimal transaction lots. When studying the transaction cost, this paper studies the different transaction cost ratio. The paper then studies the situation with new investment and the soft constraint. Finally the paper establishes the portfolio optimization model with transaction cost and minimal transaction lot and conducts empirical analysis to the real data of the Shanghai stock market.
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1. Introduction. Markowitz developed his theory of portfolio allocation under uncertainty in 1952. He put forward that the utilities of portfolio is the function of expected return rates and variance ${ }^{[3-4]}$. Usually high return rates are accompanied by high variance. When variance is fixed, investors pursue return rates as high as possible. When return rates are fixed, investors pursue variance as low as possible. Rational investors maximize their expected utilities by selecting effective portfolio. In Markowitz's mean-variance model, covariance must be calculated of risk assets and the calculation is very difficult. Sharp's capital asset price model divide risk into system risk and non-system risk. The model regards capital return as risk compensation. Sharp's single exponential model decreases the calculation work. Konno and Yamazaki ${ }^{[2]}$ put forward the absolute variance can be utilized to measure risk and analyzed the Tokyo stock market.

Markowiz's classic mean-variance model neglects some important factors in the investment practice, such as the limitation of minimal transaction lots and transaction expense. In recent years, some portfolio optimization models put forward by Mansini and Grazia consider the minimal transaction lots ${ }^{[1,5,6]}$, and the investment forming process is close to practice situation. The existed results indicate the mean-variance model with minimal transaction lots and transaction expense is a integral programming model with strict constraint. When the model only considers minimal transaction lots and does not consider transaction expense, the model is a NP-hard problem ${ }^{[1]}$. When consider minimal transaction lots, Mansini argued whether the solution can be found or not depended risk function, but he adopted the mean-absolute error model put forward by Konnoand Yamazaki. Because Mansini did not adopt Markowitz’s mean-variance model, covariance between different assets were not considered and there existed estimation risk.

This paper consider different factors in the stock market, such as the limitation of
investment value, the minimal transaction lots, transaction expense cost and share allotment. Finally this paper established a improved portfolio model with minimal transaction lots and transaction cost.
2. Markowiz's Mean-Variance Model. Markowitz's portfolio theories can be expressed as the following quadratic programming.

$$
\begin{align*}
\min \quad \sigma^{2} & =\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{\mathrm{i}} x_{j} \sigma_{i j} \\
\text { s.t } \quad \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} x_{i} r_{i} & =r  \tag{1}\\
\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} x_{i} & =1
\end{align*}
$$

where $n$ is the number of assets, $x_{i}$ is the prooration ratio of $i$ th asset, $r_{i}$ is the expected return rate of $i$ th asset, $r$ is the expected return rate of portfolio, $\sigma^{2}$ is the variance of portfolio, and $\sigma$ is the covariance of the return rate of $i$ th asset and $j$ th asset.

The double objective programming problem of minimizing the variance and maximizing the revenue can be transformed into the following parameters programming.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\max (1-\lambda) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{\mathrm{i}} r_{i}-\lambda \cdot \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{\mathrm{i}} x_{j} \sigma_{i j} \\
\text { s.t. } \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=1  \tag{2}\\
x_{i} \geq 0, i=1,2, \ldots, n
\end{array}
$$

Where $\lambda$ is risk aversion coefficient. The more $\lambda$ is, the more risk aversion is. $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$.
3. The Portfolio Model with Minimal Transaction Lots and Transaction Cost. In the practical transaction process, the minimal transaction lots usually exist. Let the minimal transaction lots $C_{i}$ of $i$ th stock can be expressed as

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{i}=N_{i} p_{i}  \tag{3}\\
N_{i}= \begin{cases}N_{b}, & \text { when } k_{i, t+1} \geq k_{i, t} \\
N_{s}, & \text { when } k_{i, t+1}<k_{i, t}\end{cases}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where $N_{i}$ is the minimal transaction lots of $i$ th stock, $N_{b}$ is the minimal number of $i$ th stock for the buyer, $N_{s}$ is the minimal number of $i$ th stock for the seller, and $p_{i}$ is the price of $i$ th stock.

When consider the minimal transaction lots, the investment weights of the model are adjusted as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}=k_{i} c_{i} / I, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $k_{i}$ is the unit of $i$ th stock, $I$ is the upper limitation of the investment.
When we adjust the portfolio, the transaction cost will occur. Take $i$ th stock for
example.
Let $x_{i, t}$ and $x_{i, t+1}$ be the investment proportion of $t$ th period and $t+1$ th period. $T C_{i, t+1}$ is the transaction cost of $i$ th stock of $t+1$ th period, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
T C_{i, t+1}=d_{i, t+1}\left|x_{i, t+1}-x_{i, t}\right| \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $d_{i, t+1}$ is transaction cost rate of $i$ th stock of $t+1$ th period. Assume that buying stock and selling stock have different transaction cost rates. Let $m_{b}$ be transaction cost rate of buyer, and let $m_{s}$ be transaction cost rate of seller, so

$$
d_{i, t+i}= \begin{cases}m_{b}, & \text { when } k_{i, t+1} \geq k_{i, t}  \tag{6}\\ m_{s}, & \text { when } k_{i, t+1}<k_{i, t}\end{cases}
$$

The total transaction cost of the portfolio at $t+1$ th period can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T C_{t+1}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i, t+1}\left|x_{i, t+1}-x_{i, t}\right| \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the limitation condition of minimal transaction lots, when we adjust the portfolio, the total transaction cost of the $i$ th stock can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T C_{i, t+1}=d_{i, t+1}\left|k_{i, t+1}-k_{i, t}\right| \cdot c_{i, t+1} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $d_{i, t+1}$ is the transaction cost rate of $i$ th stock of $t+1$ th period. $k_{i, t}$ and $k_{i, t+1}$ are the numbers of the investment respectively of $t$ th period and $t+1$ th period.
$C_{i, t+1}$ is the minimal transaction lot.
The total transaction cost of the portfolio can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T C_{i, t+1}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i, t+1}\left|k_{i, t+1}-k_{i, t}\right| \cdot c_{i, t+1} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we require the total investment is more than $95 \%$ of the upper limit of the investment, so the portfolio optimization model with minimal transaction lots and transaction cost can be expressed as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\max (1-\lambda) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i, t+1} r_{i, t+1}-\lambda \cdot \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i, t+1} x_{j, t+1} \sigma_{i j, t+1}-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i, t+1} \cdot k_{i, t+1}-k_{i, t} \mid \cdot c_{i, t+1}}{I_{\mathrm{t}+1}} \\
\text { s.t. } \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} x_{i, t+1} \leq 1 \\
x_{i, t+1}=k_{i} c_{i} / I_{t+1} \\
c_{i}=N_{i} p_{i} \\
x_{i, t+1} \geq 0, i=1,2, \ldots, n \\
k_{i, t+1} \in N \\
0.95 I_{t+1} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i, t+1} c_{i, t+1} \leq I_{t+1}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{i} & = \begin{cases}N_{b}, & \text { when } k_{i, t+1} \geq k_{i, t} \\
N_{s}, & \text { when } k_{i, t+1}<k_{i, t}\end{cases} \\
d_{i, t+i} & = \begin{cases}m_{b}, & \text { when } k_{i, t+1} \geq k_{i, t} \\
m_{s}, & \text { when } k_{i, t+1}<k_{i, t}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $m_{b}$ is the transaction cost rate of the buyer. $m_{s}$ is the transaction cost rate of the seller. $k_{i, t}$ is the investment unit of $i$ th stock of $t$ th period. $k_{i, t+1}$ is the investment unit of $i$ th stock of $t+1$ th period. $I_{i, t+1}$ is the upper limit of the investment of $t+1$ th period. $c_{i, t+1}$ is the minimal transaction lot of $i$ th stock. $N_{i}$ is the minimal transaction share of $i$ th stock. $p_{i}$ is the price of $i$ th stock. $r_{i, t+1}$ is the expected return rate of of $i$ th stock of $t+1$ th period. $\sigma_{i j}$ is the covariance of return rate between $i$ th stock and $j$ th stock of $t$ th period.
4. Positive Analysis. In our positive analysis, we select 8 stocks in Shanghai stock market. The stock codes are 600854, 600839, 600887, 600066, 600050, 600036, 600115 and 600702. Utilize Dazhihui software to download the history transaction data of every month from Jenuary, 2001 to March, 2007. The return rates are calculated according to the following formula.

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{t}=\frac{\left(10+a_{t}\right) \times P_{t} / 10-P_{t-1}+D_{t}}{P_{t-1}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $r_{t}$ is the return rate of the stock in $t$ th month. $a_{t}$ indicates every 10 shares are allotted $a_{t}$ shares in $t$ th month. $P_{t}$ is the close price of the last transaction day in $t$ th month. $P_{t-1}$ is the close price of the last transaction day in $t-1$ th month. $D_{t}$ is the dividend in $t$ th month.

The covariance matrix of return rates are shown in Table 1.
TABLE 1. The covariance matrix of return rates

| 0.0184 | 0.0102 | 0.0003 | 0.0038 | 0.0011 | 0.0015 | 0.0082 | 0.0071 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0102 | 0.0165 | 0.0022 | 0.0038 | 0.0009 | 0.0021 | 0.0047 | 0.0061 |
| 0.0003 | 0.0022 | 0.0109 | 0.0039 | 0.0031 | 0.0037 | 0.0021 | 0.0033 |
| 0.0038 | 0.0038 | 0.0039 | 0.0166 | 0.0057 | 0.0034 | 0.0016 | 0.0066 |
| 0.0011 | 0.0009 | 0.0031 | 0.0057 | 0.0131 | 0.006 | 0.0043 | 0.0044 |
| 0.0015 | 0.0021 | 0.0037 | 0.0034 | 0.006 | 0.0088 | 0.0024 | 0.0027 |
| 0.0082 | 0.0047 | 0.0021 | 0.0016 | 0.0043 | 0.0024 | 0.0101 | 0.0059 |
| 0.0071 | 0.0061 | 0.0033 | 0.0066 | 0.0044 | 0.0027 | 0.0059 | 0.0081 |

Assume that the average return rate of the history months be the return rate in $t+1$ period, and the average close price of the history months be the close price in $t+1$ period. Let $k_{i, t}=5,(i=1,2, \ldots 8)$. Let $\lambda=0.5$. Adopt the close price of the first transaction day in April, 2007. Assume that we buy 5 unit stock for every stock. Namely we buy 500 shares
for every stock. Let the upper limit of the investment be RMB6000. The month return rates and prices of 8 stocks are shown in Table 2.

TABLE 2. The month return rates and prices of 8 stocks

| Codes | 600854 | 600839 | 600887 | 600066 | 600050 | 600036 | 600115 | 600702 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Return rate | 0.0191 | 0.0055 | 0.0259 | 0.0333 | 0.0166 | 0.0270 | 0.0076 | 0.0107 |
| price | 7.43 | 6.52 | 25.5 | 16.35 | 5.67 | 17.42 | 4.98 | 7.86 |

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{i} & =\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
100, & \text { when } k_{i, t+1} \geq 5 \\
1, & \text { when } k_{i, t+1}<5
\end{array}\right.  \tag{11}\\
d_{i, t+i} & = \begin{cases}0.001, & \text { when } k_{i, t+1} \geq 5 \\
0.002, & \text { when } k_{i, t+1}<5\end{cases} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Solve the programming with lingo10.0, and obtain different return rates and variance corresponding to different $\lambda$ in Table 3.

TABLE 3. Return rates and variance corresponding to different $\lambda$

| $\lambda$ | $(\mathrm{k} 1, \mathrm{k} 2, \ldots, \mathrm{k} 8)$ | Return rates | variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $(0,0,5,36,0,0,0,0)$ | 0.03272 | 0.01599 |
| 0.2 | $(0,0,5,36,0,0,0,0)$ | 0.03272 | 0.01599 |
| 0.5 | $(5,0,5,19,0,9,0,0)$ | 0.02981 | 0.00773 |
| 0.8 | $(6,0,6,7,0,12,13,0)$ | 0.02460 | 0.00478 |
| 1 | $(2,5,5,3,0,10,26,14)$ | 0.01728 | 0.00434 |

From Table 3 we can see that when the return rate increases, variance increases. Because we consider the minimal transaction lot, when $\lambda=0$ and $\lambda=0.2$, the return rates and variance are equal.
4. Conclusions. This paper adopted the linear weighed method to transform the double objective programming into single objective programming. Consider the minimal transaction lots and transction expense, this paper established the comprehensive non-linear programming. Finally this paper adopted the real data in the Shanghai stock market to make positive analysis.
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